The "Complaint" itself misidentified the defendant. Further, counsel for Defendant 1<sup>st</sup> Credit was not served with Plaintiff's Ex Parte Request to Continue the Case Management Conference until after the requested Order was signed. The net effect upon 1<sup>st</sup> Credit's counsel

27

28

]

Case 3:05-cv-04505-MHP Document 12 Filed 03/23/06 Page 2 of 3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

was to deny Defendant's counsel sufficient time to properly prepare and address issues related to the initial Case Management Conference and to adjust his calendar, including travel schedule, accordingly.

The parties agree that JOHN DOE, AKA MR. SMYKAL, has not been served.

In addition, Plaintiff has, without stipulation or the consent of the Court, lodged or attempted to file a Second Amended Complaint. Defendant's counsel has agreed under Rule 15(a), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to stipulate to such filing, but requires time to consider it in light of the Court's Case Management requirements.

The parties have therefore stipulated to the following amended dates, and would respectfully ask that the Court permit such revision as follows:

- 1. Initial Case Management Conference shall be held on April 3, 2006 at 4. p.m.

  The parties would further request that this conference be by telephonic appearance.
- 2. Last day to meet and confer re initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection and discovery plan: March 27, 2006
- 3. Last day to file Joint ADR certification with Stipulation to ADR process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference: March 27, 2006.
- 4. Last day to complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) Report file/serve Case management Statement, and file/serve Rule 26(f) Report: April 11, 2006.
  - 5. Joint Management Statement and Proposed Order due: April 17, 2006.
  - 6. Joint Case Management Conference shall be held on May 8, 2006.

    A Telephonic Case Management Conference shall be held on May 11, 2006 at 10:00 am.

## STIPULATION PERMITTING PLAINTIFF TO FILE A SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff THOMAS SCHUENEMAN and Defendant 1<sup>st</sup> CREDIT OF AMERICA LLC hereby stipulate and agree that Plaintiff THOMAS SCHUENEMAN may file its Second Amended Complaint, nunc pro tune, to its date of lodging with the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

27

28 [[

1	Further, Defendant may have until April 12, 2006 to respond to the Second Ame	nded
2		
3	3	
4	So stipulated:	
5	Dated: March 17, 2006	
6		
7	Eric F. Fagan, Attorney for Plaintiff	-
8	Dated: March 17, 2006 Tucker Ellis & West	
9		
10		_
11	Lawrence A. Callaghan, Attorneys for Defendant 1st Credit of Ameri	ca
12	ORDER	
13	}	
14	PURSUANT TO STIPULTATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	Dated: March 22, 2006	
16		
17	IT IS SO ORDERED IDGE	
18		
19	Judge Marilyn H. Patel  Judge Marilyn H. Patel  DISTRICT OF CENTRAL PARTY	
20	Judge Mainyn D	
21		
22	DISTRICTOR	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		